EXHIBIT C

<u>Litigation Support Experience - Tal Lavian, Ph.D.</u> https://TelecommNet.com tlavian@telecommnet.com (408) 209

(408) 209-9112

	Network Communications
Law Firm:	Cozen O'connor
Case Name:	TCL Communication Technology Holding
Patent No:	US 6,058,304 and US 7,139,591
Service Provided:	IPR declarations, deposition
Type of Matter:	Wireless telephony, handheld wireless device architecture
Date:	March 2020
	Network communications
Law Firm:	Oblon (PTAB) and Pepper Hamilton (Federal)
Case Name:	Polycom, Inc. v. directPacket Research, Inc.
Patent No:	US 7,710,978, US 7,773,588, and US 8,560,828
Service Provided:	Six PTAB IPR declarations, multiple depositions
	Expert reports for federal court, a deposition
Type of Matter:	Firewall and secured multimedia communications
Date:	June 2019
	Wireless Communications
Law Firm:	Knobbe Martens, and ROBIC LLP
Case Name:	Guest Tek v. Nomadix - Case No. T-448-17 Federal Court
Case Marrie.	in Canada
Patent No:	CA 600,760, CA 2,750,345, CA 2 790 354, and 2 817 932.
ratent No.	US8811184, US9154435, US9531640, US9871738
Service Provided:	Investigation, expert reports, testimony in a Canadian
Service i Tovided.	Court
Type of Matter:	Wireless and communications access control
Date:	June 2019
	Mobile devices
Law Firm:	Klarquist Sparkman LLP
Case Name:	Microsoft V. Uniloc USA, IPR
Patent No:	US8,724,622
Service Provided:	IPR expert report
Type of Matter:	IPR expert report (very similar to Apple, Facebook
	WhatsApp expert reports)
Date:	2019
	Network Security, Firewall
Law Firm:	Unified Patents
Case Name:	Unified Patents v. FireNet Technologies LLC, IPR
Patent No:	US 8,892,600
Service Provided:	IPR expert report
Type of Matter:	Access and security of data networking attached devices,
	Network Firewall, Proxy Server
Date:	2019

	Network communications
Law Firm:	Novack and Macey LLP
Case Name:	Guaranteed Rate, Inc. v. Netrix
Patent No:	MPLS and broadband architecture
Service Provided:	Expert report, deposition
Type of Matter:	MPLS and broadband architectures using Cisco equipment
Date:	2019
Date.	Network communications
Law Firm:	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
Law FIIII.	·
Case Name:	Citrix Systems, Inc. v. Avi Networks , Inc., No. 17-1843- LPS (D. Delaware)
Patent No:	US 9,148,493, US 8,631,120
Service Provided:	Expert declarations for PTAB IPR
Type of Matter:	Declaration for Claim construction brief, depositions
Date:	2019
	Mobile devices
Law Firm:	Erise IP P.A.
Case Name:	Apple Inc. v. Voip-Pal.com, Inc.; Inter Partes Review
Patent No:	US 9,537,762; US 9,813,330; US 9,826,002; and US
	9,948,549
Service Provided:	Expert declarations
Type of Matter:	VoIP and network communications
Date:	2019
	Web Application and Database
Law Firm:	Fish & Richardson P.C.
	i ion a monaraccini ion
Case Name:	
	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc.
	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc.
Case Name:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition
Case Name: Patent No:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances 2017-2018
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances 2017-2018 Wireless The WEBB Law Firm
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances 2017-2018 Wireless The WEBB Law Firm Amazon Inc v. Hera Wireless
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances 2017-2018 Wireless The WEBB Law Firm Amazon Inc v. Hera Wireless US7,454,234, US7,369,878, and US7,873,389
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances 2017-2018 Wireless The WEBB Law Firm Amazon Inc v. Hera Wireless US7,454,234, US7,369,878, and US7,873,389 Research, analysis and drafting expert report (not filed)
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances 2017-2018 Wireless The WEBB Law Firm Amazon Inc v. Hera Wireless US7,454,234, US7,369,878, and US7,873,389 Research, analysis and drafting expert report (not filed) Wireless technologies, Wi-Fi 802.11 a/b/n, MIMO
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances 2017-2018 Wireless The WEBB Law Firm Amazon Inc v. Hera Wireless US7,454,234, US7,369,878, and US7,873,389 Research, analysis and drafting expert report (not filed) Wireless technologies, Wi-Fi 802.11 a/b/n, MIMO 2018
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances 2017-2018 Wireless The WEBB Law Firm Amazon Inc v. Hera Wireless US7,454,234, US7,369,878, and US7,873,389 Research, analysis and drafting expert report (not filed) Wireless technologies, Wi-Fi 802.11 a/b/n, MIMO 2018 Network communications
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances 2017-2018 Wireless The WEBB Law Firm Amazon Inc v. Hera Wireless US7,454,234, US7,369,878, and US7,873,389 Research, analysis and drafting expert report (not filed) Wireless technologies, Wi-Fi 802.11 a/b/n, MIMO 2018 Network communications Mei & Mark LLP (/ Devlin Law Firm LLC)
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances 2017-2018 Wireless The WEBB Law Firm Amazon Inc v. Hera Wireless US7,454,234, US7,369,878, and US7,873,389 Research, analysis and drafting expert report (not filed) Wireless technologies, Wi-Fi 802.11 a/b/n, MIMO 2018 Network communications Mei & Mark LLP (/ Devlin Law Firm LLC) ATEN International Co., LTD v. Uniclass Technology Co.,
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances 2017-2018 Wireless The WEBB Law Firm Amazon Inc v. Hera Wireless US7,454,234, US7,369,878, and US7,873,389 Research, analysis and drafting expert report (not filed) Wireless technologies, Wi-Fi 802.11 a/b/n, MIMO 2018 Network communications Mei & Mark LLP (/ Devlin Law Firm LLC) ATEN International Co., LTD v. Uniclass Technology Co., LTD
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm:	Ticket Network, Inc. and Ticket Software LLC v. CEATS, Inc. US7,548,867, US7,640,178 IPR Expert reports, deposition Web reservation over Internet and Database consistency over multiple reservations instances 2017-2018 Wireless The WEBB Law Firm Amazon Inc v. Hera Wireless US7,454,234, US7,369,878, and US7,873,389 Research, analysis and drafting expert report (not filed) Wireless technologies, Wi-Fi 802.11 a/b/n, MIMO 2018 Network communications Mei & Mark LLP (/ Devlin Law Firm LLC) ATEN International Co., LTD v. Uniclass Technology Co.,

	O 1: 6:
Service Provided:	Several infringement expert reports. Testified in
	depositions. Testified in front of a judge and jury.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to KVM switch architectures
Date:	2016-2017
·	Mobile devices
Law Firm:	Stern Kessler Goldstein Fox
Case Name:	Apple V. Uniloc USA, IPR2017-01804
Patent No:	US8,724,622
Service Provided:	IPR expert report
Type of Matter:	IPR expert report (the same as for Facebook WhatsApp)
Date:	2017
	TCP/IP, Internet Protocols
Law Firm:	Wolf Greenfield LLP
Case Name:	IPR for Huawei and RPX
Patent No:	US6,738,378 & US6,252,848
Service Provided:	IPR expert report
Type of Matter:	Patents in network communications
Date:	2017
	Wireless Mobile Devices
Law Firm:	Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &Dunner, LLP
Case Name:	LG and Huawei V. Intellectual Venture
Patent No:	US8,994,433
Service Provided:	IPR expert report
Type of Matter:	IPR expert report (the same as for Facebook WhatsApp)
Date:	2017
	Mobile wireless
Law Firm:	Perkins Coie LLP
Case Name:	T-Mobile v. Barkan Wireless Access Technologies L.P.
Patent No:	US8,559,369 and US9,042,306
Service Provided:	Expert for T-Mobile in a single IPR for these two patents
Type of Matter:	Wi-Fi Tethering in broadband cellular data
Date:	2017
D dito:	Mobile wireless, cellular systems
Law Firm:	Cooley, LLP
Case Name:	Facebook, Inc., Instagram LLC v. Skky, LLC
Oddo Hamo.	US8,892,465, US9,118,693, US9,124,717, US9,124,718,
	US9,203,870, US9,203,956, US9,215,310 and US
Patent No:	9,219,810,
T GLOTH TVO.	Further IPRs for Patent Nos. US8,892,465, US9,118,693,
	US9,124,717 and US9,124,718
	Expert for defendant Facebook, IPR and CBM expert
Service Provided:	reports, Testified in depositions
Type of Matter:	Patents related to cellular systems using OFDM
Date:	2017
Dato.	Mobile wireless, Unified Communications
Law Firm:	Cooley, LLP
Lavv i IIIII.	COOLCY, LLI

Case Name:	Facebook, WhatsApp. V. Uniloc USA, Inc.
Case Maille.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Patent No:	US8,199,747, US8,995,433, US8,243,723, and US 7,535,890
	IPR2017-01524, IPR2017-01365, IPR2017-01523
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant Facebook. Wrote IPR expert reports.
	Testified in depositions.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to VoIP, Cellphone Short Messages, Chat
	app
Date:	2016
	Unified communications
Law Firm:	Akerman LLP
Case Name:	YMax Corporation v. Focal IP, LLC
Patent No:	US7,764,777, US8,155,298, US8,724,622 and US
T dtorit i to:	8,457,113
	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner, YMax corp. Wrote
Service Provided:	three IPR expert reports for the PTAB. Testified in
	depositions.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to telecommunicators architecture, PSTN,
Type of Matter.	and VoIP
Date:	2016
	Mobile devices, wireless network, cellular systems
Law Firm:	Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
	FedEx (RPX) v. Intellectual Ventures IPR2017-00729,
Case Name:	IPR2017-00741
Case Maille.	Intellectual Ventures II LLC and Callahan Cellular L.L.C. v.
	FedEx Corp.
Patent No:	US6,633,900 and US8,494,581
Service Provided:	Wrote two IPR expert reports. Testified in depositions
Type of Matter:	Patents related to mobile devices over wireless networks.
Date:	2016 - 2017
	Routing Switching
Law Firm:	Warner Norcross & Judd
Coso Nome:	Patentmarks Communications, LLC v. Internap
Case Name:	Corporation
Detent No.	US6,016,307, US6,473,404, US6,144,641, US8,400,926
Patent No:	and US9,036,499
Complet Dura 11 1	Expert for the defendant. Wrote a claim construction
Service Provided:	declaration.
T (3.4.4)	Patents related to multi-protocol telecommunications
Type of Matter:	routing optimization
Date:	2016
	Mobile wireless (SMS on WhatsApp)
Law Firm:	Cooley, LLP
Case Name:	Facebook IPR PTAB v. Windy City

Patent No:	US8,458,245, US8,407,356, US8,473,552 and US8,694,657 (IPR2016-01157, IPR2016-01158, IPR2016-01159, IPR2016-01156)
Service Provided:	Expert for petitioner Facebook, Inc., wrote a IPR expert report for the PTAB. Testified in depositions.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to network communications
Date:	2016
	Network communications
Law Firm:	Winston & Strawn
Case Name:	RPX IPR PTAB filing
Patent No:	US8,788,090
Service Provided:	Expert for petitioner RPX, wrote an IPR expert report for the PTAB
Type of Matter:	Patents related to network communications
Date:	2016
	Network Management
Law Firm:	Cooley, LLP
Case Name:	ServiceNow, Inc. v. BMC Software, Inc.
Patent No:	USPTO PTAB IPR Cases: IPR2015-01555; IPR2015-01211; IPR2015-01631; IPR2015-01601. CBM Case CBM2015-00170 ,2:14-CV-00903 US8,646,093; US8,646,093 (CBM as well), US7,617,073; US8,674,992; US6,816,898
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner, ServiceNow, Inc., wrote IPR and CBM expert reports for PTAB, wrote expert reports for claim constructions. Testified in depositions.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to network management
Date:	2015
	Internet protocols, routing and switching
Law Firm:	Cooley, LLP
Case Name:	ServiceNow, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Company
Patent No:	USPTO PTAB IPR Cases IPR2015-00707; IPR2015-00716; IPR2015-00631; IPR2015-00717; IPR2015-00707. CBM Cases CBM2015-00108; CBM2015-00077 US7,925,981; US7,945,860; US7,392,300; US7,027,411; US7,925,981, US7,945,860 (CBM); US7,925,981 (CBM)
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner ServiceNow, Inc., wrote IPR and CBM expert reports for the PTAB Cases IPR2015-00631, IPR2015-00717. Testified in depositions.
Type of Matter:	Patents related to Web services and Internet technologies
Date:	2015
	Internet Protocols, TCP/IP , Network Management, SNMP

Law Firm:	Holland & Knight, LLP
Case Name:	SNMP Research International, Inc., et al. v. Avaya , Inc.
Comico Drovidodo	Expert for defendant Avaya, Inc., wrote expert reports.
Service Provided:	Testified in a deposition.
Type of Matter:	Network management for IP-PBX
Date:	2015
	Routing Switching, Internet Protocols
Law Firm:	Perkins Coie, LLP
	Qurio Holdings v. DIRECTV
Case Name:	Case No. 15-cv-01986-HSG (N.D. CA), and 3 IPR petitions
	IPR2015-02005, IPR2015-02006, IPR2015-02007
Patent No:	US7,787,904, US8,102,863 and US8,879,567
Service Provided:	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner DIRECTV, wrote
	three IPR expert reports
Type of Matter:	Mobile device control, WAN to wireless LAN gateway,
	wireless LAN gateway
Date:	2015
	Mobile wireless (handset mobile devices)
Law Firm:	Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &
	Dunner, LLP FedEx Corporation and FedEx Corporate Services, Inc.,
Case Name:	Petitioner v. Loramax LLC, Patent Owner
Patent No:	US5,689,642 and US5,513,126
i atentino.	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner FedEx
Service Provided:	Corporation, wrote an IPR expert report.
	(Plaintiff dismissed the case without IPR filed)
Type of Matter:	Improving communication between devices or stations
Date:	2015
	Mobile and Wireless, Cellular System,
Law Firm:	McGuire Woods, LLP
Case Name:	Expert for GT&T
Service Provided:	Expert for a cellular telecommunications company
Type of Matter:	Analysis of cellular network and phone systems.
Date:	2015
	Network Security
Law Firm:	Wolf Greenfield, LLP
Case Name:	MD Security Solutions, LLC v. RPX
Patent No:	US7,864,983
Service Provided:	Expert for IPR petitioner RPX, wrote an IPR expert report
Type of Matter:	Network communications for alarm systems
Date:	2015
	Mobile devices
Law Firm:	Simpson Thacher & Bartlett, LLP
Case Name:	IXI Mobile v. Apple Inc. 14-cv-7954 SDNY
Patent No:	US7,295,532, US7,426,398, US7,016,648, and US
	7,039,033

Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Network communications Law Firm: Patent No: Patent App. 13/432.775 Service Provided: Wrote a short declaration for an office action Type of Matter: Date: Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Case Name: Desktop computer configuring the system Date: Case Name: Case Name: Date: Case Name: Desktop computer configuring the system Case Name: Case Name:	ers,
Date: 2015 Network communications Law Firm: Hovey Williams, LLP Case Name: USPTO Office Action App. 13/432.775 (NetAirus) Patent No: Patent App. 13/432.775 Service Provided: Wrote a short declaration for an office action Type of Matter: Desktop computer configuring the system Date: 2015 Routing and switching, TCP/IP, Internet Protocols Law Firm: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP Case Name: Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.) US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222 US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
Network communications Law Firm: Hovey Williams, LLP Case Name: USPTO Office Action App. 13/432.775 (NetAirus) Patent No: Patent App. 13/432.775 Service Provided: Wrote a short declaration for an office action Type of Matter: Desktop computer configuring the system Date: 2015 Routing and switching, TCP/IP, Internet Protocols Law Firm: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP Case Name: Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.) US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222 US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
Law Firm: Case Name: USPTO Office Action App. 13/432.775 (NetAirus) Patent No: Patent App. 13/432.775 Service Provided: Wrote a short declaration for an office action Type of Matter: Desktop computer configuring the system Date: Case Name: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.) US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222 US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
Case Name: USPTO Office Action App. 13/432.775 (NetAirus) Patent No: Patent App. 13/432.775 Service Provided: Wrote a short declaration for an office action Type of Matter: Desktop computer configuring the system Date: 2015 Routing and switching, TCP/IP, Internet Protocols Law Firm: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP Case Name: Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.) US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222 US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
Patent No: Patent App. 13/432.775 Service Provided: Wrote a short declaration for an office action Type of Matter: Desktop computer configuring the system Date: 2015 Routing and switching, TCP/IP, Internet Protocols Law Firm: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP Case Name: Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.) US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222 US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
Service Provided: Wrote a short declaration for an office action Type of Matter: Desktop computer configuring the system Date: 2015 Routing and switching, TCP/IP, Internet Protocols Law Firm: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP Case Name: Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.) US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222 US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
Type of Matter: Date: 2015 Routing and switching, TCP/IP, Internet Protocols Law Firm: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.) US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222 US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
Date: 2015 Routing and switching, TCP/IP, Internet Protocols Law Firm: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP Case Name: Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.) US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222 US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
Routing and switching, TCP/IP, Internet Protocols Law Firm: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.) US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222 US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
Law Firm: Kirkland & Ellis, LLP Case Name: Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.) US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222 US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
Case Name: Spherix v. Cisco Systems 1:14-cv-393-SLR (D. Del.) US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222 US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
US RE40467, US 6,697,325, US 6,578,086, US 6,222 US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
US 6,130,877, US 5,970,125, US 6,807,174, US	
Patent No: 7,397,763, US 7,664,123, US 7,385,998, US 8,607,32	3 US
6,879,594, US 6,661, 788, US 6,233,245, US 6,684,24 US 6,661, 788, US 6,879,594	+1,
Service Provided: Searched for prior art, invalidity claim charts, and investigation	
Type of Matter: Network communication, routing, and switching technologies	
Date: 2014	
Routing switching, network communications, TCP/IP	
Law Firm: Desmarais, LLP	
Case Name: Bockstar Technologies, LLC v. Cisco Systems, Inc. Case No. 1: 13-cv-2020-SLR (D. Del.)	
Patent No: US 5,732,080, US 6,069,895, US 6,233,245, US 6,636,508, US 6,684,241, US 6,778,653	
Service Provided: Research and analysis of the prior art	
Type of Matter: Network communication, routing, and switching technologies	
Date: 2014	
Routing switching, Network Communications, Internet Protocols, TCP/IP	
Law Firm: Armstrong Teasdale, LLP	
Case Name: Cisco Systems, Inc USPTO Inter Partes Review (IF (the petition was not filed)	PR)
Patent No: US 5,583,862	
Service Provided: Expert for petitioner Cisco Systems, Inc., wrote invalid	ation
expert reports for the USPTO PTAB	
Type of Matter: Patent-related to telecommunications, routing and switching technologies	

	Network communications
Lavy Firms	
Law Firm:	Fish Richardson, LLP
Case Name:	Mobotix - Inter Partes Review IPR2015-00093
Patent No:	US 6,975,220
Service Provided:	Expert for petitioner Mobotix, wrote invalidation expert
	reports for the USPTO PTAB
Type of Matter:	USPTO Inter Partes Review (IPR), patent related to
Type of Matter.	network architecture
Date:	2014
	Routing switching
Law Firm:	Faegre Baker Daniels, LLP
	Brilliant Optical Solutions, LLC v. Comcast
Case Name:	District of Colorado, Case no. 1:13-CV-00886-REB-KMT
	and USPTO Inter Partes Review (IPR)
Patent No:	US 5,555,478
	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner Comcast, wrote
Service Provided:	invalidation expert reports for the USPTO PTAB IPR
Oct vide i Tovided.	(Plaintiff dismissed the case without IPR filed)
Type of Matter:	Patent-related to routers' switching fabric architecture
Date:	2014
Date.	Routing Switching, Network Communications,
Law Firm:	Irell & Manella, LLP, CA
Law Filli.	Brixham Solutions, LTD, vs. Juniper Networks
Case Name:	
Case Name.	3:13-cv-00616-JCS (N.D. CA)
- · · · · ·	and USPTO IPR 2014-00425 and IPR 2014-00431
Patent No:	US7,940,652 and US7,535,895
Service Provided:	Wrote two invalidation expert reports for the USPTO
Oct vice i Tovided.	PTAB. Testified in depositions.
	Expert for defendant and IPR petitioner Juniper Networks,
Type of Matter:	patent related to routers' switching fabric architecture and
	a patent related to Ethernet pseudo-wire VPN
Date:	2014
	Mobile Systems, Cellular Networks, an ITC Case
Law Firm:	McKool Smith (Dallas, TX)
Case Name:	Ericsson v. Samsung (ITC)
Case Marrie.	ITC Investigation NO. 337-TA-862
Patent No:	US6,772,215, US6,519,223
Comico Descridado	Wrote several expert reports. Testified in depositions.
Service Provided:	Testified in the ITC court.
T () A ()	Patent-related to cellular systems, CDMA, GSM, UMTS,
Type of Matter:	LTE, and wireless network communications
Date:	2013
	Routing Switching, Network Communications
Law Firm:	Keker& Van Nest, LLP
	Comcast Cable Communications, LLC et al. v. BT
Case Name:	Americas, Inc.
<u> </u>	11

	3:12-cv-01712-M (N.D. Tex.)
Patent No:	US7,142,508, US5,638,516
Service Provided:	Analysis, claim terms, and Markman claim construction
Type of Matter:	MPLS technology
Date:	2013
Date.	Unified communications, streaming video and VoIP
Law Firm:	Williams, LLP (KS)
Case Name:	NetAirus Systems LLC v. Kappos USPTO 1:12-cv-00709-AJT-JFA, 1:12-cv-01207-AJT-TRJ
Patent No:	US5,280,583, US5,589,849, US2005/0110780 A1
Service Provided:	Wrote a declaration regarding claim amendment
Type of Matter:	Video conference application program
Date:	2013
Buto.	Wireless Networking
Law Firm:	Slater&Matsil, LLP (Dallas, TX)
	e-Watch, Inc. v. Mobotix Corp.
Case Name:	USPTO Reexamination
Patent No:	US6,970,183
Service Provided:	Expert for petitioner Mobotix Corp.
Service Provided.	Wrote an invalidity declaration - USPTO IPR2013-00255
Type of Matter:	A wireless monitoring system, wire-line networking
Date:	2013
	Network Communications
Law Firm:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL)
Case Name:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination
Case Name: Patent No:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205
Case Name:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012 Network Communications
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012 Network Communications Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA)
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012 Network Communications
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012 Network Communications Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA) Wassink v. ACS (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.)
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012 Network Communications Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA) Wassink v. ACS (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.) 8:11-cv-00554-CJC-MLG (C.D. CA)
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Service Provided:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012 Network Communications Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA) Wassink v. ACS (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.) 8:11-cv-00554-CJC-MLG (C.D. CA) Wrote an expert report
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Service Provided: Service Provided:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012 Network Communications Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA) Wassink v. ACS (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.) 8:11-cv-00554-CJC-MLG (C.D. CA) Wrote an expert report Cisco certification of IT personnel
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Service Provided: Service Provided:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012 Network Communications Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA) Wassink v. ACS (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.) 8:11-cv-00554-CJC-MLG (C.D. CA) Wrote an expert report Cisco certification of IT personnel 2012
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Service Provided: Service Provided: Date:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012 Network Communications Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA) Wassink v. ACS (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.) 8:11-cv-00554-CJC-MLG (C.D. CA) Wrote an expert report Cisco certification of IT personnel 2012 Mobile Wireless
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Service Provided: Service Provided: Date: Law Firm:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012 Network Communications Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA) Wassink v. ACS (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.) 8:11-cv-00554-CJC-MLG (C.D. CA) Wrote an expert report Cisco certification of IT personnel 2012 Mobile Wireless Kenyon& Kenyon, LLP HumanEyes Technologies, LTD v. Sony Electronics (ITC)
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Service Provided: Service Provided: Date: Law Firm: Case Name:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012 Network Communications Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA) Wassink v. ACS (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.) 8:11-cv-00554-CJC-MLG (C.D. CA) Wrote an expert report Cisco certification of IT personnel 2012 Mobile Wireless Kenyon& Kenyon, LLP HumanEyes Technologies, LTD v. Sony Electronics (ITC) 1:12-cv-00398 (D. Del.) Investigation of patents and related technologies Software related to mobile devices including panoramic
Case Name: Patent No: Service Provided: Type of Matter: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Service Provided: Service Provided: Date: Law Firm: Case Name: Service Provided: Date:	Nixon Peabody (Chicago, IL) Teradici's - USPTO Re-examination US6,012,101, US5,604,509, US5,577,205 Wrote an invalidity expert report Patents related to network communications remote KVM 2012 Network Communications Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP (Irvine, CA) Wassink v. ACS (Affiliated Computer Systems, Inc.) 8:11-cv-00554-CJC-MLG (C.D. CA) Wrote an expert report Cisco certification of IT personnel 2012 Mobile Wireless Kenyon& Kenyon, LLP HumanEyes Technologies, LTD v. Sony Electronics (ITC) 1:12-cv-00398 (D. Del.) Investigation of patents and related technologies

	Network Communications Protocols
Law Firm:	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP (New York, NY)
Case Name:	Realtime Data v. Morgan Stanley et al 1:11-cv-6696,-6701,-6704 (S.D. N.Y.)
Service Provided:	Wrote a rebuttal to an infringement report, was deposed
Type of Matter:	Technology related to network communication protocol
Date:	2012
	Network Security, VPN, SSL
Law Firm:	Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
Case Name:	Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. v. VanguardBank and PNC Bank
Patent No:	US5,940,510, US5,949,880, US6,105,013, US6,237,095
Service Provided:	Investigation of prior art
Type of Matter:	Patents litigation related to virtual private networks (VPN) and secure service layer (SSL)
Date:	2012
	Mobile wireless, Cellular systems
Law Firm:	Foley & Lardner, LLP
Case Name:	M2M Solutions , LLC v. Sierra Wireless, CA No. 12-030-RGA (D. Del.)
Patent No:	US7,583.197, US8,094,010
Service Provided:	Investigation of the prior art, claim charts
Type of Matter:	Patents related to wireless and mobile devices in cellular systems
Date:	2012
	e-Commerce, Internet and Web Technologies
Law Firm:	Goldstein & Lipski, PLLC (Houston, TX)
Case Name:	Site Update Solutions , LLC v. Accor North America, Inc. et al. 4:11-CV-03306-PJH (N.D. CA), Ipr2016-01235, Cbs Corp., 15-1448, 13-1458, 5:11cv3306
Patent No:	US RE40.683, US 6,253,198
Service Provided:	A declaration
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and e- commerce
Date:	2012
	Internet and Web Technologies
Law Firm:	Goldstein & Lipski, PLLC (Houston, TX)
Case Name:	EIT Holdings LLC V. Netflix and Barnes & Noble Inc.
Patent No:	5:11-CV-02466; 2012 WL 728387, 1:10-CV-01081,2011 WL 7149251,2011 WL 7149248
Service Provided:	Expert report
Type of Matter:	Patent-related to Internet and Web technologies
Date:	2012
	Bandwidth and network access

Law Firm:	Russ, August & Kabat (Los Angeles, CA)
	Proxyconn, Inc. v. Microsoft et al.
Case Name:	8:11-cv-1681-DOC-AN (C.D. Cal)
Patent No:	US6,757,717, US6,370,646
Service Provided:	Investigation of technology, was deposed
Type of Matter:	Speed data accessing in communication networks
Date:	2012
	Network communications
Law Firm:	Greenberg Traurig, LLP
Case Name:	Walker Digital v. Google 1:11-cv-00318-LPS (D. Del)
Patent No:	US5,884,272, US5,884,270, US5,824,082, US 5,136,501, US5,832,497
Service Provided:	Invalidity analysis, investigation of patents and related technologies
Type of Matter:	Internet and e-commerce technologies
Date:	2012
	Bandwidth Allocation
Law Firm:	Hosie Rice, LLP (San Francisco, CA)
Case Name:	BackWeb v. HP and IBM 4:10-cv-04311-PJH
Service Provided:	Investigated patents and related technologies
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to network communication bandwidth allocation
Date:	2012
	Mobile wireless and SMS, streaming video in Cellular systems
Law Firm:	Hogan Lovells US, LLP
Case Name:	High Point SARL v. Sprint Nextel 2:09-CV-2269 (Kansas City, KN)
Patent No:	US5,884,272, US5,884,270, US5,824,082, US5,136,501, US5,832,497
Service Provided:	Search for invalidity prior art
Type of Matter:	Patents related to mobile wireless and cellular systems
Date:	2011
	Network Communications, Internet Protocol, TCP/IP, SMS, Streaming Video
Law Firm:	Greenberg Traurig, LLP
Case Name:	Emblaze v. Apple 1:10-cv-5713 -PKC (S.D.N.Y.)
Patent No:	US6,389,473, US5,841,432, ÚS5,751,968, US6,138,147, US5,610,841, US6,397,230, US5,579,239, US5,928,330, US5,987,510, US6,014,694, US6,151,632, US5,864,682, US5,659,539
Service Provided:	Investigation of patents, invalidity analysis
Type of Matter:	Computer network protocol for multimedia application
Date:	2011
	Mobile wireless

Law Firm:	Ropes & Gray, LLP (New York, NY)
O N	Motorola Mobility, Inc. v. Microsoft
Case Name:	1:10-cv-24063-MORENO (S.D. FL)
Detent Ne	US7,024,214, US7,493,130, US7,725,584, US6,219,694,
Patent No:	US5,406,643, US7,275,116
0 i D i l	Wrote an invalidity expert report, wrote a response to an
Service Provided:	infringement report. Testified in depositions 7/21/2011
Tura of Motton	Patent litigation related to wireless and mobile devices
Type of Matter:	including smartphones and tablets
Date:	2011
	Network Communications
Law Firm:	Goldstein & Lipski, PLLC (Houston, TX)
Casa Names	EIT v. YELP! Inc.
Case Name:	3:10-cv-05623-WHA (N.D. CA)
Datant Na	US5,828,837, US6,249,868, US6,594,765, US6,081,786,
Patent No:	US6,188,988, US7,298,271, USRE40,683, US8,060,504
Service Provided:	Wrote an expert report
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to Internet and Web technologies
Date:	2011
	Network Communications
Law Firm:	Hosie Rice, LLP (San Francisco, CA)
O N	Software Research, Inc. v. HP
Case Name:	3:11-cv-1676-JSW (N.D. CA)
Patent No:	US7,231,606, US7,757,175
Service Provided:	Wrote a declaration 7/15/2011
T () A ()	Patent litigation related to Internet web technologies and e-
Type of Matter:	commerce
Date:	2011
	Network Communications, Unified Communications
Law Firm:	David N. Hoffman (Brooklyn, NY) and S. Robert Diener (Berkeley, CA)
Casa Narras	Yipes v. Wyckoff Medical Center
Case Name:	AAA No. 74494 Y 00849 10 NOLG
Service Provided:	Testified in depositions. Testified in front of an arbitrator
Type of Matter:	Network communications infrastructure
Date:	2011
	Network communications protocols, TCP/IP, Internet Protocols
Law Firm:	Silicon Edge Law Group, LLP
Case Name:	HP v. Alacritech
Case Name.	USPTO Interference No. 105,775
Patent No.	US6,246,683, US6,226,680, US6,697,868
	Wrote an expert report, an expert declaration, a response
Service Provided:	expert report, a reply expert report. Testified twice in
	depositions.
Type of Matter:	USPTO Interference:

ol
7,311,
.,,
itions.
filtering
3,040,
9,815,
,,,,,,
CV-
estified
_

Type of Matter:	Network protocols in the teleconference system
Date:	2010
	Routing switching
Law Firm:	Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett &Dunner, LLP
Case Name:	F5 Networks v. A10 Networks
	2:10-cv-00654-MJP (W.D. WASH)
Patent No:	US7,102,996, US7,395,349, US6,311,278
Service Provided:	Invalidity investigation, a search of prior art
Type of Matter:	Patents related to network communications firewall and
	load balancing
Date:	2010
	Internet Web technologies
Law Firm:	Niro Scavone Haller & Niro
Case Name:	IP Innovation v. Google
	2:07-cv-503-RRR (E.D.TX)
Patent No:	US5,276,785, US5,675,819
Service Provided:	Wrote an infringement expert report and a rebuttal expert
	report
Type of Matter:	Patent litigation related to Internet and Web technologies
Date:	2009
	Routing, switching, VoIP and video streaming
Law Firm:	Niro Scavone Haller &Niro
Case Name:	Trace Wilco, Inc. v. Cisco
	5:09-cv-000810-SI
Patent No:	US6,158,011, US6,061,796, US7,325,063, US5,796,948
Service Provided:	Investigated patents and related technologies
Type of Matter:	Network communications protocols
Date:	2009